## REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 1-3 and 14 have been cancelled, while claims 4-8, 15-17 and 19 have each been made proper independent claims including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In addition, claims 9 and 13 have been amended to include the limitations of claim 5.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3, 9-14 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,615,162 to Houston in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0071561A1 to Olsen et al.

Applicants acknowledge that the Examiner has found claims 4-8 and 15-19 allowable over the prior art of record.

In view of the above changes, Applicants believe that the Examiner's  $35 \text{ U.S.C. } 103\,(a)$  rejection has been overcome.

Applicants believe that this application, containing claims 4-13 and 15-20, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/ Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613 Attorney

Tel.: 914-333-9611